

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/967,121	STRONG, PETER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas J. Cleary	2111	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas J. Cleary - USPTO.

(2) David O'Neill - 35,304.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13, 34, 36-39

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for the attached Examiner's amendment was received.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MARK H. RINEHART

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)